AS-Chap. 6.6 - 1



Does the emitted microwave radiation exhibit quantum properties?

- \rightarrow Commutation relations, superpositions, entanglement
- \rightarrow Quantum optics
 - ightarrow Yes, expected due to field quantization
 - ightarrow Confirmed by experiments
- \rightarrow Microwaves \rightarrow Expected in analogy to opics
 - \rightarrow Different technology \rightarrow Experimental proof required!

(Envisioned) applications of propagating quantum microwaves



$$|-\cdots\rangle = |-\cdots\rangle + |-\cdots\rangle$$

Quantum information processing

Fundamental technological considerations

Microwave losses may inhibit

- \rightarrow Observation of quantum properties of propagating microwaves
- ightarrow Practical applications such as quantum microwave communication/illumination

Superconducting cables

→ Coherent propagation distance $\ell_{\rm coh}$ sufficient?

- → In resonators, microwave signals travel back and forth many times before losing coherence ($T_1 \simeq 100 \ \mu s 1 \ ms$)
- → $\ell_{\rm coh} \approx 3 \times 10^8 \frac{\rm m}{\rm s} T_1 \simeq 10 100$ km comparable to optics
- \rightarrow Superconducting cables require cooling!
 - ightarrow Short- or medium-distance applications certainly feasible
 - \rightarrow QIP platforms such as SQC also require cooling \rightarrow Compatible
- ightarrow Technological compatibility to SQC
 - ightarrow No frequency conversion losses
 - ightarrow Natural candidate for chip-to-chip quantum communication between SQC

Fundamental technological considerations

Microwave losses may inhibit

- \rightarrow Observation of quantum properties of propagating microwaves
- ightarrow Practical applications such as quantum microwave communication/illumination

Free-space propagation

 \rightarrow Atmospheric transparency windows



ightarrow Classical illumination with microwaves used for radar

- ightarrow Known to pass through clouds, fog, and rain
- → Typical frequencies $\simeq 20 \text{ GHz}$ ($\lambda = \frac{3 \times 10^8 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}}{f} \simeq 1.5 \text{ cm}$)
- → Compatible with SQC (superconducting gap of aluminum still twice as large)

AS-Chap. 6.6 - 5

F. D. Walls, G. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).

 \rightarrow Source-free (free field!) Maxwell equations

Quantization of the electromagnetic field

$$\nabla B = 0, \ \nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}, \ \nabla D = 0, \ \nabla \times H = \frac{\partial D}{\partial t}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{D} = \epsilon_0 \boldsymbol{E}, \mu_0 \epsilon_0 = c^{-2})$$

→ Coulomb gauge ($\nabla A = 0$) → $B = \nabla \times A$, $E = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$

→ A(r, t) satisfies wave equation $\nabla^2 A = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2}$

→ Separate vector potential $A(r,t) = A^{(+)}(r,t) + A^{(-)}(r,t)$ into

- → Right-propagating components $A^{(+)}(\mathbf{r},t)$ varying with $e^{-i\omega t}$ for $\omega > 0$
- → Left-propagating components $A^{(-)}(\mathbf{r},t)$ varying with $e^{i\omega t}$ for $\omega > 0$

 \rightarrow Restrict field to finite volume

 $\rightarrow A^{(+)}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_k c_k \mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\omega_k t}$

 \rightarrow Fourier coefficients c_k constant for free field

- \rightarrow Vector mode functions $u_k(r)$
 - → Satisfy wave equations $\left(\nabla^2 + \frac{\omega_k^2}{c^2}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_k(\boldsymbol{r}) = 0$
 - \rightarrow Satisfy transversality condition $\nabla u_k(r) = 0$
 - → Form orthonormal set $\int_V dr \, \boldsymbol{u}_k^{\star}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{u}_{k'}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \delta_{kk'}$
 - \rightarrow Depend onboundary conditions

F. D. Walls, G. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).

Quantization of the electromagnetic field

 \rightarrow General example for boundary conditions

- \rightarrow Periodic (travelling waves)
- → Reflecting walls (standing waves)

 \rightarrow Here: Plane wave functions suitable for cubic volume with side lengths L

$$\rightarrow u_k(r) = \frac{1}{L^{3/2}} \hat{e}^{(\lambda)} e^{ikr}$$

→ Typically no polarization in microwaves propagating in waveguides → Polarization vector $\hat{e}^{(\lambda)} = \hat{e}$

→ Wave vector
$$\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$$
 with $k_{x,y,z} = \frac{2\pi}{L} n_{x,y,z}$ and $n_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}$

 $ightarrow \hat{e}$ perpendicular to k

→ Quantization of classical Fourier amplitudes

$$\Rightarrow a_k, a_k^* \to \hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \text{ with commutation relations } \left[\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_{k'}^{\dagger}\right] = \delta_{kk'}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_k \epsilon_0}} \left[\hat{a}_k \mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \mathbf{u}_k^*(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\omega t}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2\epsilon_0}} \left[\hat{a}_k \mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\omega t} - \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \mathbf{u}_k^*(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\omega t}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hamiltonian } \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\epsilon_0 E^2 + \mu_0 H^2\right) = \sum_k \hbar\omega_k \left(\hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Quantum states } |\psi_k\rangle \text{ of each mode can now be discussed independently!}$$

Continuos variables (CV) vs. discrete variables (DV)

Classical single-mode electromagnetic waves $A \cos(\omega t + \phi)$ \Rightarrow Equivalent description $P \cos \omega_k t + Q \sin \omega_k t$ with field quadratures $Q = A \cos \phi$ and $P = A \sin \phi$ \Rightarrow In engineering, P is often called I \Rightarrow Field quadratures analogous to momentum/position in mechanics \Rightarrow Field quantization $\Rightarrow [\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = \frac{i}{2} \iff (\Delta P)(\Delta Q) \ge \frac{1}{4}$ Single-mode quantum field $\Rightarrow \hat{H}_{HO} = \hat{P}^2 + \hat{Q}^2 = \hbar \omega_k \left(\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ Continuous-variable basis \Rightarrow Sat of signeratures of either \hat{D} or \hat{Q}

- → Set of eigenstates of either \hat{P} or \hat{Q} also forms basis
- → Natural states are Gaussian states (coherent, squeezed, thermal)

→ Fock basis $\{|n\rangle\}$

Annihilation operator

→ Single photons |1⟩ are the natural quantity of interest

→ Any quantum state can be expressed either in CV or in DV
 → Any quantum task (QIP, QSim, Qcomm, QIIIu) can be expressed in CV or DV
 → Nevertheless a particular basis may be more suitable for a particular problem

 $\hat{a} \equiv \frac{\omega_{\rm r} C \Phi + i \hat{q}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\rm r} C \hbar}}$

Expressing quantum microwave states

E. P. Menzel, PhD thesis (Tu München, 2013).

- \rightarrow General quantum state described by the desity matrix $\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\Psi} P_{\Psi} |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$
 - $\rightarrow P_{\Psi} = \text{Classical probability to be in state } |\Psi\rangle \rightarrow P_{\Psi} > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{\Psi} P_{\Psi} = 1$
 - → Expectation value of operator $\hat{O} \rightarrow \langle \hat{O} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{O}\hat{\rho}]$
 - → Normalization → $Tr[\hat{\rho}] = 1$
 - \rightarrow Complex matrix entries \rightarrow Not easy to visualize

ightarrow Phase space representation of a quantum state

- ightarrow Ideal classical states ightarrow Points in phase space
- \rightarrow Noisy classical states
 - \rightarrow Ordinary probability distribution P(q, p) in phase space
 - \rightarrow q, p are the phase space variables associated with Q, P
 - $\rightarrow P(q,p)dqdp$ is the probability to find the system in state (q,p)
- \rightarrow Quantum states
 - → Heisenberg uncertainty relation $(\Delta P)(\Delta Q) \ge \frac{1}{4}$ as "quantum noise"
 - \rightarrow In general requires also negative probability densities
 - → Quasi-probability distribution W(q, p) with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(q, p) dq dp = 1$
 - → Wigner function $W(q,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle q \frac{\zeta}{2} | \hat{\rho} | q + \frac{\zeta}{2} \rangle e^{ip\zeta} d\zeta$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$

ż

e^{-1} -contours 0.2 5 \geq 0.1 0 Р D 0 -1 0 -5 $\bar{n}=0$ $\bar{n} =$ 5 5 -5 0 5 0 0 p p -5 -5 q

Thermal state $\hat{\rho}_{th}$ with $\bar{n} \equiv Tr[\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}\hat{\rho}_{th}] = 1$

$$\rightarrow W_{\text{th}}(q,p) = \frac{1}{\pi \left(\bar{n} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} e^{-\frac{1}{\bar{n} + \frac{1}{2}}} > 0$$

Wigner function examples

- → In the high-temperature limit $k_{\rm B}T \gg \hbar \omega_k$, thermal states can usually be considered to be classical
- → Thermal states are aslo classical in the sense that they do not generate entanglement when applied to a beam splitter with vacuum in the other input

E. P. Menzel, PhD thesis (TU München, 2013).

E. P. Menzel, PhD thesis (TU München, 2013).



Coherent state $|\alpha\rangle \equiv |Q + iP\rangle = \widehat{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle$

- → Produced by the displacement operator $\widehat{D}(\alpha) \equiv e^{\alpha \hat{a}^{\dagger} \alpha^{\star} \hat{a}}$ applied to the vacuum → $W_{\rm coh}(q,p) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-2[(q-Q)^2 + (p-P)^2]} > 0$
- → Coherent states are classical in the sense that the expectation values of the field operators obey the equations of motions for the actual field operators in the Heisenberg picture

E. P. Menzel, PhD thesis (Tu München, 2013).



Squeezed vacuum determined by complex squeezing parameter $\xi \equiv re^{i\varphi}$

→ Produced by the squeezing operator $\hat{S}(\xi) \equiv e^{\frac{1}{2}[\xi^*\hat{a}^2 - \xi(\hat{a}^\dagger)^2]}$ applied to the vacuum → r determines the amount of squeezing and φ the squeezing direction in phase space → $W_{sq}(q,p) = \frac{2}{\pi}e^{-(e^{2r}+e^{-2r})|q+ip|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(e^{2r}-e^{-2r})(e^{-i\varphi}|q+ip|^2+e^{i\varphi}|q-ip|^2)} > 0$ → Because $(\Delta P)^2 < \frac{1}{4}$, one must have $(\Delta Q)^2 \ge \frac{1}{4(\Delta P)^2}$ to satisfy the Heisenberg relation → Squeezed states are nonclassical in the sense that they produce entanglement when applied to a beamsplitter with vacuum at the other input port

Wigner function examples

Wigner function examples



Number states

- ightarrow Have a radially symmetric Wigner function
- → The vacuum $|0\rangle$ is a Gaussian state with the vacuum variace of $0.5\hbar\omega_k$
- → Finite number states $|n \ge 1$ are nonclassical
 - → Because their Wigner function can become negative
 - → Because they when applied to a beamsplitter with vacuum at the other input port

WMI dual-path receiver



extremedesignsonline.com AS-Chap. 6.6 - 14



Kowledge of all moments is equivalent to knowlege of the Wigner function or density matrix.

Expectation values of all signal moment up to order n

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle C_1^{n-1} C_2 \rangle \\ \downarrow \\ \langle a^n \rangle, \langle \chi_1^n \rangle, \langle \chi_2^n \rangle \end{array}$$

Iteratively obtain all signal & detector noise moments

Nondeterministic & quantum signals up to order $n \rightarrow \text{Record}$ all moments $\langle Q_1^j Q_2^k P_1^\ell P_2^m \rangle$ with $j + k + \ell + m \leq n$ and $j, k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$



Dual-path state reconstruction of propagating quantum microwaves



Intuition for order n = 2

 \rightarrow Use statistical independence of amplifier noise signals in different paths $\langle \chi_1 \chi_2 \rangle = \langle \chi_1 \rangle \langle \chi_2 \rangle = 0$ $\rightarrow \langle C_{1,2} \rangle = \langle a + \chi_{1,2} \rangle =$ $\langle a \rangle + \langle \chi_{1,2} \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \langle \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^2 \rangle = \langle \left(a + \chi_{1,2} \right)^2 \rangle =$ $\langle a^2 + a\chi_{1,2} + \chi_{1,2}a +$
